(1)

C.F. 1201

(4)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P. No. 2989 /2005

PETITIONER

Kalim Ullah Seikh, S/o. Late Gani Ullah Seikh, Aged about 35 years, R/o. Sethi Nagar, Near Stadium Road, Durga Mandir, Chakradhar Nagar, Raigarh (C.G.)

P.R. No. 3145/05
Presented by Shri Ashok Soni
dated 7/7/05

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through the Secretary, Department of School Education, Mantralaya D.K.S. Bhawan Raipur (C.G.)

2. The Director Public Instructions Raipur (C.G.)

3. The District Education Officer, Raigarh (C.G.)

4. The Principal, Government Higher Secondary School, Kosir, District Raigarh (C.G.)

CERTIFIED COPY
7-7-05
Dy. R.K. to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF AN APPROPRIATE WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS/ CERTIORARI, ETC. OR DIRECTION(S) / ORDER(S) IN THE LIKE NATURE ETC.

(55)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 2989/ **सन् 2005**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

15.07.2005

Mr. Sanjay S. Agrawal, learned counsel for the petitioner.

Mr. Akhil Agrawal, learned Panel Lawyer for the State of Chhattisgarh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was appointed as contract teacher vide order dated 14.07.1998 (Annexure P-3) for the academic session of 1998-99. It was further pointed out by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner's appointment continues till new person is appointed on regular basis. Vide order dated 30.04.1999 (Annexure P-6), the services of the petitioner was discontinued on the ground that academic session 1998-99 had come to an end.

The petitioner has been writing to the government for regularization of his services and no representation for considering his fresh appointment was ever filed. The petitioner was dismissed way back on 30.04.1999. The petitioner has filed this petition after a lapse of more than six years seeking relief of reinstatement. No case has been made out.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further prays for a direction to the respondent authorities to consider his representation, if any, made.

The respondents are directed to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner sympathetically, on filing of his representation with a period of 15 days, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of the representation.

In view of the foregoing, the petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Same